Review Policy

The author's role is essential to upholding the quality and integrity of the conference. To ensure fairness, transparency, and consistency in the review process, we have outlined the following protocols for reviewers:

1. **Confidentiality**
   All submissions and review discussions are strictly confidential. Reviewers must not share information about submissions or the review process with anyone outside the review committee.
2. **Conflict of Interest**
   Reviewers are expected to disclose any potential conflicts of interest related to the submissions they are assigned. Conflicts may arise from personal relationships, professional collaborations, or direct competition with the authors.
3. **Fairness and Impartiality**
   Reviewers should assess submissions impartially, focusing on the originality, quality, significance, and relevance of the work to the conference themes. Biases related to the authors' identity, affiliation, or reputation must be avoided.
4. **Expertise**
   Reviewers should have the relevant knowledge and experience in the field of the submissions they are evaluating. If a reviewer feels unqualified to review a particular submission, they should promptly inform the program chairs.
5. **Timeliness**
   Reviewers are expected to adhere to the schedule for the review process. Timely and constructive feedback is essential for authors to improve their work and for conference organizers to make informed decisions.
6. **Constructive Feedback**
   Reviews should be thorough, respectful, and constructive, highlighting both strengths and weaknesses of the submission. Feedback should provide specific recommendations for improvement where possible.
7. **Confidential Review Platform**
   All reviews must be submitted through a secure online review platform to maintain confidentiality. This platform facilitates communication between reviewers and program chairs while ensuring anonymity throughout the review process.
8. **Review Criteria**
   Reviewers will receive specific assessment criteria tailored to the conference tracks or submission categories. These standards will guide the review process and promote consistency in the evaluation of submissions.
9. **Appeals Procedure**
   Authors may appeal decisions made during the review process. Appeals will be reviewed by the program chair in consultation with relevant reviewers, with an emphasis on fairness and transparency.
10. **Reviewer Recognition**
    The team deeply values the contributions of our reviewers, whose work is integral to the success of the conference. Reviewers may be invited to join the program committee for future conferences, and their contributions will be acknowledged in conference materials.

Kindly confirm that you have read and comprehended the above review policy and that you agree to adhere by its principles and rules by accepting to evaluate entries for the conference.

The team appreciates your commitment to the success of the conference and to upholding scientific quality.